

## Rubric for Jerusalem Science Contest written Presentation

## **Written Presentation**

	Points are awarded for:	Points are subtracted for:		
Presentation of Research and Graphics Max. Pts. 5 (also includes Graphics below)	<ul> <li>Title and author</li> <li>All major points covered in your introduction</li> <li>The specific hypothesis that the data supports or rejects.</li> <li>Presentation of data.</li> <li>Conclusions derived from the data.</li> <li>Coherent, cohesive and logical</li> <li>Well organized, engaging and thorough</li> <li>Questions for future research</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Title and author absent</li> <li>Skipping major points in your introduction</li> <li>Ambiguous hypothesis</li> <li>Insufficient data, erroneous data, no data</li> <li>Conclusions derived from data are lacking/insufficient</li> <li>Lack of coherence, cohesion and logic</li> <li>Sloppiness, redundancies, and incompleteness</li> </ul>		
Graphics Included in Pts. above	<ul> <li>Appealing</li> <li>Uncluttered</li> <li>Colors and fonts that enhance readability.</li> <li>Tables, figures, graphs, images enhance readability</li> <li>Clearly arranged and engaging</li> <li>Relate to the thesis or the data</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cluttered</li> <li>Colors and fonts cause confusion.</li> <li>Tables, figures, graphs and image that detract and confuse</li> <li>Haphazard arrangement</li> <li>Unrelated to the thesis or data.</li> </ul>		
Citations and Quality of Sources Max. Pts. 4	<ul> <li>Cites all data obtained from other sources.</li> <li>Citations are from academically professional and peer reviewed publications</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Inadequate citations</li> <li>Low quality citations (Wikipedia or other non-peer reviewed)</li> </ul>		
Spelling and Grammar Max. Pts. 3	Proper grammar, spelling, sentence and paragraphs	Improper grammar, sentence and paragraph structure		

Thesis Max. Pts. 5	<ul> <li>Unambiguous and specific</li> <li>Understandable</li> <li>Supported or rejected by the data presented</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ambiguous and open ended</li> <li>Confusing</li> <li>Unsupported or unrejected by the data presented</li> </ul>		
Science Evidence Max. Pts. 7	<ul> <li>Supports or rejects the hypothesis</li> <li>Logically, clearly and thoroughly interpreted</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Not relevant to the hypothesis</li> <li>Interpretation is incomplete, unclear or illogical</li> </ul>		
Judaic Connection and Content Max. Pts. 10	<ul> <li>The connection is integral (strong)</li> <li>Related to primary and authoritative Jewish texts</li> <li>Relevant to Judaic life</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The connection is marginal (weak)</li> <li>Sources are unknown or from peripheral segments of Judaism</li> <li>Unrelated to Judaic life</li> </ul>		
Analysis, Synthesis, and Conclusion Max. Pts. 6	<ul> <li>Within the conclusion –</li> <li>The conclusions are strongly related to the data or sources presented.</li> <li>Ideas are logically interconnected.</li> <li>The conclusion is compelling</li> <li>Counter-arguments are listed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Within the conclusion –</li> <li>The conclusions are not relevant to the data or sources presented.</li> <li>Ideas are unconnected and narrated haphazardly</li> <li>The conclusion uncompelling</li> </ul>		

**Total Points: 40** 

**Comments:** 

Reviewer		Date		
Title:	Name:		Score:	/30